1 2 3 4 5 6	Alan L. Geraci, Esq. (SBN 108324) CARE Law Group PC 817 W. San Marcos Blvd San Marcos, CA 92078 (619) 231-3131 telephone (760) 650-3484 facsimile alan@carelaw.net Attorneys for Petitioners, Roy B. Garrett and Mary Garrett
7	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO-NORTH COUNTY DIVISION
10	
11	ROY B. GARRETT, and individual;) Case No. 37-2017-00045061-CU-WM-NC MARY GARRETT, an individual,)
12	Petitioners,) DECLARATION OF JOHN DONEL IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS'
13) MOTION FOR TEMPORARY) RESTRAINING ORDER AND FOR
14	vs.) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION TO STAY PRIVATIZATION OF THE
15	CITY OF ESCONDIDO, a California) ESCONDIDO PUBLIC LIBRARY Municipality and DOES 1-10,)
16) TRO HEARING TIME: 1/8/2018 Respondents,) TRO HEARING TIME: 8:30 a.m.
17) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION:
18	ESCONDIDO PUBLIC LIBRARY) DATE: BOARD OF TRUSTEES,) TIME:
19) DEPT: N-28 Real Party in Interest.) Hon. Earl H. Maas, III
20)
21	I, John Donel, declare as follows:
22	1. I have been actively involved with the City of Escondido Public Library issue that is the
23	subject of this action. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and if
24	called upon by the court would so testify.
25	2. I was employed as Senior Librarian from the Escondido Public Library until my written
26	resignation sent on November 15, 2017, and effective December 2, 2017. A true and
27	correct copy my resignation letter is attached herewith as Exhibit 1 and hereby
28	

- incorporated by this reference as if set forth in full herein.
- 3. Despite the City Council's 90 day stay of implementation so that the <u>anticipated</u> legal challenge could be heard, City employees have been led to the edge of the Library Systems and Services LLC (LS&S) privatization "cliff" by the city. Anxiety was caused because the city wants to push them over the edge with no guarantee of future employment or salary because the city will have no further control over those decisions. LS&S will have total control of their future. And given the company's long established reputation for having the fewest and lowest paid employees in the public library profession, that future does not look promising. The City needs to step back, or be forced to do so, from the edge of the privatization "cliff" and that will reduce workers' anxiety.
- 4. The city didn't care about employee anxiety related to being privatized when they began this process and throughout the process have excluded library employees and ignored their feelings and opinions, but now that legal action is threatening to stop the "runaway train" of privatization they show disingenuous concern about the employees they have forced to board this train.
- 5. Library employees have far more anxiety about what will happen to them after LSS takes over than staying with the city. It's been that way throughout the process. There are no guarantees that LS&S will keep any employees for any significant length of time. Once LS&S takes over they can do with the employees as they please. Many employees have expressed to me concern about how long LS&S will retain them once the contract goes into effect, especially full time employees who are making significantly more than the typical LS&S full time employee.
- 6. Under LS&S employees will definitely no longer be active PERS members, health care benefits will be significantly reduced, and sick leave and vacation accruals, which are enormous for long term employees, will be completely lost. There is far more anxiety about these issues going forward with LS&S then any minor issues related to reversing course and staying on as city employees.

- 7. I would note that the severance/stipend payments made by the city to employees agreeing to stay on with LS&S was a cheap attempt to buy their support for the privatization proposal. A one-time payment of a few thousand dollars cannot make up for a lifetime of investment in both time and money in a government benefitted position.
- 8. The city has given layoff notices, but those can easily be rescinded compared to the difficulty of going back as city employees once the contract with LS&S goes into effect. The city can also delay the contract start date as well. Employees should not be rushed into an unknown and financially and professionally risky situation because the City did not consider all the implications of their actions. They should have anticipated that possible legal action would delay implementation of the contract and not use the argument of uncertainty or anxiety of employees (which exists in any case) to prevent proper judicial action and review. Indeed delaying the process will benefit the employees since they will have more time to consider their options and the privatization issue can be given the full legal review it should have been given from the beginning.
- 9. Also, not a single library employee if given the choice to remain as a fully benefitted City employee or becoming an LS&S employee would choose the latter option. Not a single library employee has ever spoken in public, or in private to me, in favor of the decision to privatize the library.
- 10. The city's tactic all along has been to intimidate, bully and even retaliate against employees in an attempt to get them to either support the privatization proposal or at least not speak against it. One former employee, Director Loretta McKinney suffered retaliation by being shunned, ignored and disrespected after refusing to accept "enticement" to support the privatization proposal; former deputy director Cynthia Smith was put on administrative leave for repeatedly questioning and criticizing the proposal; and scare tactics were used by the city saying that they might have to close the Library to deal with PERS funding issues if the privatization deal was not approved. This is nonsense as the library has been operating in the black for years and has the lowest PERS liability of any city department. This attempt to delay an injunction is part of the city's

scare and intimidation tactics that the privatization must go forward as quickly as possible for fiscal reasons. In reality the city wants no delay in the process because they fear that a thorough review of both the decision and the decision "process" will reveal the poor quality of the decision and the bias and dishonesty in the "process".

11. Serious damage has already been done to library employee staffing quality and morale in that nearly 100 years of professional librarian experience has been lost since the privatization "process" started. An injunction is an attempt to stop the process before it harms all the remaining library employees and further reduces library quality and service.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 1/2/2019

John Donet Min demil

To: Joanna Axelrod, Deputy Library Director, Escondido Public Library; and Escondido Public Library Staff

Cc: California Governor Jerry Brown; California State Librarian Greg Lucas; California Library Association President Dolly Goyal; Escondido Public Library Board of Trustees: Elmer Cameron, Mirek Gorny, Ron Guiles, Gary Knight, Mayra Salazar; Escondido Councilperson Olga Diaz

Coworkers and fellow citizens,

It is with deep regret that I submit my letter of resignation as Senior Librarian from the Escondido Public Library effective December 2, 2017. And while some of you who read this letter do not know me, I send this letter to all concerned citizens, because you need to know of the disregard for honesty and openness in government and the insult to democracy that has occurred in the City of Escondido. The short-sighted, biased, and disrespectful actions of Escondido City officials to privatize the Escondido Public Library by handing over its staffing and management to an irresponsible and unaccountable private company against the advice of Library staff and the desire of the overwhelming majority of Escondido residents leaves me no honorable choice but to resign. As a lifelong public employee who has always worked to meet the needs of the public, I cannot in good conscience continue to work for a city government that has dishonored what it means to be a public servant. While I have enjoyed my two years serving the people of Escondido the unconscionable action of a few self serving politicians in Escondido government have created a nightmare for the Library and left a stain on our democracy.

Since their establishment nearly 300 years ago, public libraries in the United States have been the embodiment of the Constitutional rights of free speech and open access to information without which no democracy can survive. It is the responsibility of government, not private business, to protect and preserve these basic Constitutional rights. Public libraries and the collections and services they provide which have been established at taxpayer expense, have always been, and should always be, a non-profit government responsibility.

The decision to allow a poor quality company with non-transparent management and financial practices like Library Systems & Services (LS&S) to make a profit from a free public library has already had a negative impact on Library staff and services as nearly 100 years of professional staff experience have been lost since the "process" of privatization began. The history of LS&S of providing library services "on the cheap" has resulted in their ranking in the lowest 10% of quality measures for public libraries, including professional staffing, circulation and expenditures per capita, according to California Library statistics. Escondido Public Library is currently ranked well above this level. Thus, privatization with LS&S poses a severe threat to quality Library services in Escondido.

However, even worse than the decision to privatize the Library has been the secretive, biased, noninclusive and disingenuous "process" that led to the decision. A "process" which from the very beginning has disrespected principles of public transparency, equal inclusion of key stakeholders, and objective, unbiased analysis of information. Library staff were not given an equal opportunity to be part of the investigative process of LS&S and after becoming aware of the negotiations, were shut out of any genuine opportunity to discuss, question and cross-examine the proposal directly with either City officials or LS&S in a public forum. Library management staff were never given an opportunity to present an alternative budget proposal that could save as much as, or maybe more than, the \$400,000 amount that LS&S claims it could save the City annually. A request to develop such an alternative was made by former Library Director Loretta McKinney in April 2017 to the City Manager. Her request was not answered. A possible alternative to privatization drafted by Library staff in September 2017 which proposed a reduction in the number of Library benefitted staff that would have resulted in annual savings comparable to the LS&S projected savings, was also never considered by City officials.

On the other hand, LS&S was allowed to privately communicate with City officials throughout the entire "process". LS&S also secretly investigated the Library and presented a biased report to City officials with no opportunity given to Library staff to rebut their unsupported claims. The City provided vague and unsubstantiated information in support of Library "outsourcing", as they called it, which came exclusively from LS&S employees and customers. Information critical of LS&S was generally excluded from official City statements and the City

website. Information in support of LS&S was generally placed on the City website in its entirety with no vetting for accuracy or opportunity for rebuttal by Library management staff or citizens. City officials were allowed to speak in favor of "outsourcing" at any time, to anyone and to publish any information that supported the proposal on the City website. Conversely, Library staff, who are the most knowledgeable on library issues, had to be silent about the issue during work hours and could only speak against Library privatization on their own personal time.

Indeed, as a professional research librarian for 28 years it has been embarrassing and frustrating to watch how the very few who support privatization have consistently presented biased, inaccurate and/or one sided information while at the same time dismissing or ignoring the volumes of documented facts and statistics against privatizing the Library presented by professional librarians, three former City Library directors, independent outside organizations such as the American Library Association, and the City's own Library Board of Trustees.

On August 8, 2017, the Library Board of Trustees voted unanimously to oppose "outsourcing" of the Escondido Public Library. Their vote was ignored by City officials. At their September 26, 2017 meeting the Library Board of Trustees requested a delay in any "outsourcing" decision until investigations could be completed into a possible move of the Escondido Public Library into the San Diego County Library system. They also asked for time to investigate if the Board could take over Library management pursuant to California Education Code Section 18910, which states: "The public library shall be managed by a board of library trustees, consisting of five members, to be appointed by the mayor, president of the board of trustees, or other executive head of the municipality." Based on this it was the Board's contention that they should have the primary right to decide who manages the Library. Both of these very reasonable requests simply for more time to investigate alternatives to privatizing the Library were not even considered by Escondido City government officials.

Indeed the City's "process" provided no objective, justifiable answers to many questions. For instance, why was there such a rush to judgment in this "process"? Why were key stakeholders (Library staff, the Board of Trustees and the public) not involved in the "process" from the very beginning? Why was the Library, whose staffing budget represents less than 3% of the City's general fund, singled out to deal with the City's unfunded CalPERS liabilities? What was happening behind the scenes that caused such an important issue as control of the City's public library to be decided in just two city council meetings less than two months apart? Why were Library staff not asked to submit an alternative proposal? Why was an unprecedented ten year contract signed with LS&S?

As a Library staff member it has been difficult to watch the negative effect on staff morale this "process" has had as Library staff have been dismissed, disrespected, insulted and discouraged from speaking out. That disrespect which led to the early retirement of former Library Director Loretta McKinney and the placement of former Deputy Director Cynthia Smith on administrative leave, both in less than a two month period, and both for their persistent criticism and questioning of the City proposal to privatize the Library, shows the sacrifice made by some to speak out against this "process".

And most sadly, as a citizen of Escondido it has been extremely disappointing and disillusioning to see how a few uninformed politicians in City government have disrespected democratic principles and disenfranchised the people of Escondido by advocating and adopting a proposal that no one outside of City Hall supports in a "process" that was a rushed, disingenuous charade from the very beginning. The final City Council vote to privatize the Library was disrespectful of the opinions and views of thousands of Escondido residents, who signed petitions, wrote letters to City officials and spoke in meetings and online forums. Hundreds of citizens made individual statements at City Council and Library Board of Trustees meetings, yet their pleas have been completely ignored by City officials. And to date those officials have provided absolutely no data to suggest that privatization of the Library is supported by anyone outside of City government.

Yes indeed, the entire privatization "process" was a travesty and an insult to open minded and unbiased investigation, inclusiveness of all concerned stakeholders, and showed total disregard for the will of the people and the principles of an open and democratic society. The opinions of experts and the voice of the overwhelming majority of citizens were ignored. It is this kind of closed minded decision making by a small minority in government that creates feelings of frustration, disenfranchisement and cynicism in the people and causes even deeper divisions in a society that is already too divided. Political officials need to remember that they work for the people and not for themselves.

I do thank councilperson Olga Diaz for her courageous and steadfast opposition to privatizing the Library. She has been the lone shining beacon of democracy in Escondido city government who understands the needs of the economically disadvantaged, minorities and less privileged who most need the resources of a public library. Indeed, she truly understands the mission of public libraries in a democratic society and the importance of libraries being managed and staffed by public employees who are pledged to transparency, accountability and public service.

Fortunately, our democracy is strong and as it has many times before, will survive the narrow minded decisions of uncaring politicians. To those who voted to privatize the Library, shame on you for dishonoring the will of the people, our Constitution and our democracy. To those who oppose privatizing the Library, take comfort in knowing that you have supported the Library and its staff during a time of great stress. Your opposition to a dictatorial government action has supported the best of democratic ideals and principles and affirmed that this is indeed a government of the people, by the people and for the people.

Finally, I invite all citizens concerned with propriety, fairness, inclusiveness and transparency in government action who read this letter to learn more about the injustice that has occurred against the citizens of Escondido. I hope everyone will see it as part of your civic duty to ensure that this never happens again and that such wrongs against democracy, wherever they occur must be opposed or else democracy anywhere is not safe.

Respectfully submitted,

John Donel, MLS Senior Librarian for Technology & Support Services Escondido Public Library johndonel57v@gmail.com